

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,094	12/28/2001	Sunil Palakodati	10541-183	2388
757	7590 02/03/2003			
BRINKS H	OFER GILSON & LIO	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 10 CHICAGO,			WINNER, TONY H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/041,094 Applicant(s)

Palakodati et al.

Examiner

Tony H. Winner

Art Unit 3611



	<del></del>			
	ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S	SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
mailing date of this communication.	. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with	pty and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. se the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 28</u>	. 2001			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This a	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowanc closed in accordance with the practice under Ex.	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-22</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)  Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-22</u>	is/are rejected.			
	is/are objected to.			
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/a	are a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.			
	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	miner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some* c) None of:				
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents ha				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International But  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second seco				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	·			
a) The translation of the foreign language provision				
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest				
Attachment(s)	, .			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6 and 7	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/041,094 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. Figures 1-3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whitney (US 626,515).

Whitney discloses a roller pinion gear comprising:

- a. A roller wheel (A2) having plurality of radially projecting teeth (T),
- b. Pin rotatably mounted in and projecting from the periphery,
- c. A pinion shaft (B) coupled to the roller wheel.

Application/Control Number: 10/041,094 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng (US 6,164,407) and in view of Whitney.

Cheng discloses an electric power steering system comprising:

- a. An assist pinion (fig 1) with first and second ends,
- b. First end may engage a rack (40),
- c. Second end is coupled to the pinion gear (64),
- d. An electric motor (Fig 3) having a rotating output shaft and the shaft is coupled to the pinion gear.

Cheng lacks the teaching of a roller pinion gear with plurality of radially projecting teeth.

Whitney is disclosed above teaching the use of roller pinion gear so as to reduce the friction between two meshing gears.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the steering gear of Cheng as taught by Whitney to include a roller

Application/Control Number: 10/041,094

Art Unit: 3611

Page 4

pinion gear. Such a modification would provide means to reduce friction between two meshing gears.

With respect to claims 4-5, 9, and 11-12, Cheng as modified by Whitney meets all of the claimed limitations.

With respect to claims 6 and 7, Cheng as modified by Whitney discloses the claimed invention except for the range of the gear ratio. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the gear ration between the roller screw and the assist pinion, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

The reasoning of rejection is also applied to claims 7-8, 13-15, and 17.

With respect to claims 18-19, Cheng as modified by Whitney meets all of the method claimed limitations.

The method and reasoning of rejection for claims 20-22 is the same as claims 6 and 7 above.

## Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. F'Geppert ('716), Nemoto ('586), Mayfield ('817), and McCartin ('990) are cited of interest.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Tony Winner whose telephone number is (703) 306-5957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

January 22, 2003

Lesley D. Morris

Primary Examiner

SPEAUD611